To
The Principal Secretary/Secretary,
Dealing with Minority Development Department,
Government of Assam, Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh, Arunachal Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka,
Manipur, Mizoram, Bihar, Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, Odisha, Tripura, Meghalaya, Madhya Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir, Kerala, Uttarakhadi, Haryana, Delhi, West Bengal, Rajasthan, Punjab, Sikkim, Andaman and Nicobar.

Subject : Independent monitoring mechanism for the projects under MsDP- Involving IITs/NITs for the
monitoring of projects costing more than Rs.1 crore – Guidelines given.

Sir/Madam

Multi-sectoral Development Programme (MsDP) is under implementation since 2008 and projects for socio
economic infrastructure and basic amenities are taken up under this programme. The project approved is implemented
by the concerned State/UT. At present, the monitoring of the projects under MsDP is done through Block, District
and State level Committees. However, there is no independent monitoring mechanism for the projects under
the programme. As such the monitoring of the projects is not happening to the desired level and there is a need to
strengthen the existing system by involving credible independent agency.

2. Considering these aspects the following guidelines are given for strengthening the monitoring mechanism at
the State and District level.

(i) Each State/UT is requested to identify an IIT (Indian Institute of Technology) or NIT (National Institute of
Technology) situated within their State/UT or near to them, to have a tie up for the inspection and monitoring
of the projects under MsDP. A State may identify more than one IIT/NIT for this purpose, if so needed.
(ii) IIT/NIT so identified would be required to inspect and monitor all the projects of MsDP costing more than Rs.
1 crore.
(iii) The expert/professional from the concerned IIT/NIT would be required to visit the project at least twice
during its execution – one of the visits should be after 50% of the first instalment of the central share has been
utilised and another visit should be when the project is nearing its completion. For bigger projects, more visits
can be planned.
(iv) The main purpose of the visit by the expert from IIT/NIT would be (a) to assess the quality of construction
and whether the construction is as per the administrative approval and approved design and estimate, and (b)
to ascertain whether the project under execution has been properly located so as to give benefit to the target
minority communities.
(v) The Ministry would insist on at least one inspection report of the IIT/NIT before the release of second
instalment for the projects costing more than Rs.1 crore.
(vi) The total cost of monitoring through the above mentioned proposition should not exceed 0.5% of the total cost
of the project. This expenditure may be met out of the provision made in the project estimate for quality
control or contingency etc. If the provision made in the project estimate is not sufficient, this may be charged
under administrative expenses of the programme.

3. I request you to take immediate action to put this system into place by 31st August, 2014 and also to inform
this Ministry about the action taken in this regard.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully

(Ujjwal Kumar Sinha)
Under Secretary to the Govt. of India
Tel: 011-24364283